

REMARKS

Claims 18, 20-23, and 25-37 are presently in the case. Claim 24 has been canceled. Claim 18 has been amended to incorporate the language of claim 24. Claims 19 and 24 have been canceled.

Claims 18, 19, and 28 have been rejected under 37 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Kropp. With the inclusion of the language of claim 24 in claim 18, this rejection no longer applies. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

An election of species had previously been made without traverse in the response dated November 10, 2006. In that election, claim 24 was identified as a generic claim. Since claim 24 is allowable and has now been rewritten in independent form as amended claim 18, withdrawal of the election requirement is respectfully requested. See 37 CFR 1.142.

Claims 18, 19, 24-26, 28, and 35-37 have been rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of Eisenmenger et al (U.S. 6,915,785). Reference is made to the office action for the analysis provided.


Reconsideration of this rejection is respectfully requested. What is disclosed and claimed in U.S. Patent '785 is an injector with a control valve, in which the valve piston 70 is guided in a sleeve 80, and in which the sleeve 80 is at least partially surrounded by high pressure. This means that in U.S. '785, the valve piston 70 is not guided directly in the valve body 31. In this respect, the scope of present claim 18 differs from the scope of U.S. '785. Attention is directed to Figure 1 of this application and of the U.S. '785 patent. In the patent, control piston 70 is clearly seen to be in bush 80 whereas in the present application there is no

Appl. No. 10/551,461
Amdt. dated May 11, 2007
Reply to Office action dated Feb. 22, 2007

structure corresponding to bush 80. In the present application, valve piston 32 is guided directly in housing part 26. Claims 1-16 of '785 recite bush 80 (see column 5, line 62 and elsewhere in the claims). This difference between the sets of claims is non-obvious.

Entry of the amendment and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Ronald E. Greigg
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 31,517
CUSTOMER NO. 02119

GREIGG & GREIGG, P.L.L.C.
1423 Powhatan Street, Suite One
Alexandria, VA 22314
Tel. (703) 838-5500
Fax. (703) 838-5554

REG/MLG/hhl

J:\Bosch\R305061-1\Reply to 2-22-07 OA.wpd